

Alfred W. Leth's Est.

In account with Jesse Leth, his administrator.

1866.			Dr.	Cr.
		To paid Clerk tickets	2 15	
		" " Rev. Stamps	2 00	
Apr.	16	" " Lewis Schram	5 00	
		" " Clerk tickets	2 50	
		" " Wm E. Gay's adm. fund	29 30	
		" " Same balance fund	18 12	
Sept.	22	By amt account Sales		375 99
"	"	" " Sale of Watch		30 00
1867.		To paid James Bryant	3 00	
March	19	" Commissions on \$405.99 at 5 per cent	20 29	
"	"	" Balance due Est.	323 43	
			405 99	405 99
"	"	By balance due Est. not done		323 43
Apr.	8	To paid Pauls, Willard & Co.	4 35	
Dec.	23	" " A. E. Stall for Coffin &c.	18 00	
"	"	" " Jesse Leth	83 00	
1868.		By Interest on \$323.43 at 6 per cent		19 40
Mar?	19	To Balance due Est.	187 58	
			342 83	342 83
"	"	By balance due Est. not done		187 58
Sept.	21	To paid Geo. D. Kitchy atty, for Briggs &c.	50 00	
Oct.	24	" " Dr. Mapenbury midwife	45 00	
Dec.	19	" " Kinchen Leth	17 00	
1869.		" " Sargent & Vicks	1 85	
Oct.	15	By Interest on \$118.18 to date		9 35
"	19	To amount retained to pay Clerk for recording this ap	2 00	
"	"	" paid E. W. Mapenbury, atty.	10 00	
"	"	" Balance due Est.	70 98	
1869.			196 83	196 83
Feb.	19	By balance due Est. not done with interest from date on \$12.33 paid thereof till paid		70 98

Respectfully Submitted,
Henry S. Steward, Comr.

Commissioner's Office,
Jerusalem, Oct. 19th 1869.

To the County Court of Southampton County, Your Commissioner respectfully reports to the Court, that Jesse Leth, administrator of Alfred W. Leth, has exhibited before him a Statement of all money received by the said adm. & with which he had become chargeable or had disbursed during the period embraced in the foregoing account, together with the vouchers in support of the disbursements. That he finds a balance of \$70.98 to be due the Estate, with interest on \$12.33 from the 19th day of February 1869, till paid. That the said account is supported by satisfactory vouchers & that the said Adminr has given such bond as the law requires, the penalty thereof & the Securities thereof are sufficient in the opinion of the Commissioner that the said administrator was